Chapter objectives

After reading this chapter you will:

- Understand the development of the concept of governance.
- Identify the key characteristics of governance.
- Understand the reorganisation of the state along new functional and territorial lines.
- Recognise the importance of multi-level governance, particularly in policy areas that have become highly globalised.
- Appreciate the complexity of governance in tourism.
- Understand the major roles of government in tourism.
- Identify different types of tourism policy.

Introduction

Governance is the act of governing. The focus of this chapter is the government and governance of tourism, an issue that has become a major concern to stakeholders in tourism in recent times. That is, the design, implementation and monitoring of public policies and strategies with respect to how the state intervenes in tourism. This involves the horizontal and vertical coordination of government at various levels, the private sector, and non-government organizations as well as the wider population. This occurs over scales ranging from the global through to the local. It
has assumed importance as researchers have sought to understand how the state can best act to mediate contemporary tourism related social, economic, political and environmental policy problems, at a time when the role of the state has itself changed given the dominance of market-oriented neoliberal policy discourse in many developed countries (Hall, 2011). The chapter also discusses the various roles of the public sector, and the changing nature of government intervention in tourism that may be described as a shift from government to governance. Nevertheless, the nation state and its national government are still extremely important sovereign actors in tourism policy.

*The value of the governance perspective rests in its capacity to provide a framework for understanding changing processes of governing* (Stoker 1998: 18).

The various ways in which the term ‘governance’ is used in tourism studies can therefore not be fully understood unless we also know its theoretical underpinnings and context (Bramwell & Lane, 2011; Hall, 2011). In this chapter, different roles of government and the state in tourism are described, and are then analysed via different policy typologies that enable the reader to understand the various means by which governments approach tourism policy in order to achieve policy objectives. The chapter will then examine the importance of cooperative strategies as a means of gaining producer support in the overall marketing, planning and development of a destination.

**From government to governance**

In public policy terms there has been a substantial transformation of the perceived role of government in general, and in tourism in particular, in recent years. This shift is sometimes referred to as a move from government to governance. Central to this shift has been a change in the relative role of state institutions, i.e. government agencies, in securing state-sponsored economic, environmental and social projects. For example, in developed countries many of the activities of the welfare state approach that existed until the beginning of the 1980s, including management of leisure and cultural facilities, are now operated and implemented by private sector providers or non-government organizations. Therefore, rather than the implementation of government policies being undertaken by a sole government agency, there is now a greater emphasis on partnership between government departments, state-owned or part-owned organizations that operate on a commercial basis (para-governmental organizations), the private sector and non-governmental organizations, in which the role of government agencies and departments is to steer organizational networks and partnerships in a required direction (Hall, 2005, 2011; Bramwell & Lane, 2011).

There is no single accepted definition of governance. This is reflected in Kooiman’s (2003: 4) concept of governance as “the totality of theoretical conceptions on governing”. “Governance is defined in dozens of different and in some respects contrasting ways” (Meuleman, 2008: 11) in fields such as political sci-
ences, public administration, geography and sociology (Bevir, 2011). At the most
general level, governance is a “complex pattern of consumer-oriented public poli-

cies” (Salet et al., 2003: 3). Definitions tend to suggest a recognition of a change
in political practices involving, amongst other things, increasing globalisation,
the rise of networks that cross the public-private divide, the marketization of the
state, and increasing institutional fragmentation (Hall, 2011). Nevertheless, the
term tends to be used in two inter-related ways. The first describes contemporary
state adaptation to its economic and political environment with respect to how it
operates. The second meaning of governance is that it is used to denote a concep-
tual and theoretical representation of the role of the state in the coordination of
socio-economic systems. Within this meaning two main strands can be identified.
The first focuses on the capacity of the state to ‘steer’ the socio-economic system
and therefore the relationships between the state and other policy actors (Pierre
& Peters, 2000, 2005). The second focuses on coordination and self-government,
especially with respect to network relationships and public-private partnerships.
Rhodes (1996, 1997) identified a number of characteristics of governance:

- Interdependence between organizations.
- The concept of governance is broader than that of government and includes a
  role for non-state actors (i.e. private sector, non-government organizations and
  interest groups, including organisations in the voluntary sector).
- The boundaries between the public and other sectors are now far more opaque.
- The need to exchange resources and negotiate shared purposes between
  organization members of networks leads to ongoing interaction between those
  members.
- The rules of interaction within a network is set by its members, with trust
  being critical for the maintenance of network relationships.
- Many networks have a significant degree of autonomy from government and
  are self-organising.
- Although the state does not necessarily occupy a privileged position it can
  imperfectly and indirectly steer networks.

The later characteristic of governance identified by Rhodes and the significance
of partnership relations between state agencies and other organizations has meant
that both the development, as well as the implementation, of government policies
has become extremely complex. Jessop (1997) referred to situation as one of ‘meta-
governance’ as it requires the steering of multiple agencies and organizations,
which although operating autonomously of one another, remain linked together
through their involvement in common policy issues and associated funding and
benefits. This situation is extremely relevant to tourism as it well describes the
problem of many destination tourism organizations, which are often partly or
fully funded by the government, or even a part of the formal structure of the state,
i.e. a government agency, and their role in trying to bring together other public
agencies, tourism producers and even destination communities in common